IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl.Misc. No.496 of 2007 and
Crl.W.P.No.597 of 2007
Date of Decision:- 08.10.2007

Jatinder Singh ....Petitioner(s)

through
By Post.

vs.

U.T.Chandigarh ....Respondent(s)

through
Mr.N.S.Shekhawat, Addl.P.P. for U.T.

***

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

***

1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2) To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

***

SURYA KANT, J. (ORAL)

Reply by way of affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.

The telegram, *inter alia,* alleging that Jaspal Singh son of Hari Singh has been taken into illegal custody allegedly by the police of Police Station Sector 34, Chandigarh, sent by one Jatinder Singh son of Jaspal having been treated as a writ petition for habeas corpus, notice was issued to the respondents.

In response thereto, reply-affidavit filed by Prem Lal Chauhan, S.H.O. Police Station Sector 34, Chandigarh, allegedly pointed out that Jaspal Singh has already been named as accused in three cases i.e. F.I.R.No.42 dated 2.2.2007, F.I.R.No.150 dated 17.4.2007 IPC and F.I.R.No.431 dated 20.9.2007 which stand registered under Sections

420/120-B IPC and 24 of the Immigration Act.

In addition, it has been pointed out that a complaint was received from Lt. Col.Manjit Singh alleging that a sum of Rs.1,45,000/- was paid by him as an earnest money to purchase the flat owned by Jatinder Singh son of Jaspal Singh but neither the said flat was transferred to him nor they refunded the money. The aforesaid complaint appears to have alleged that Jatinder Singh and Jaspal Singh had taken the said amount from him with dishonest intention.

In the light of these allegations, no case to interfere in this case is made out.

Dismissed.

October 08, 2007 **(SURYA KANT)**
poonam **JUDGE**